

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/693,368     | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>TAIMISTO, MIRIAM H. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Henry M. Johnson, III | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3739                    |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Henry M. Johnson, III.

(2) Craig Slavin.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 28 January 2006

**Time:** 1:07 PM EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23 26 27

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Cancelled 23 and changed dependency of claims 26 and 27*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)